



**Southdown Sheep Society Council meeting  
Monday May 11<sup>th</sup> 2020 at midday  
Held via conference call**

## Minutes

**Those present:**

Sid Cook	(SC)	
Duncan Crundwell	(DC)	
Lindsay Dane	(LD)	
Patrick Goldsworthy MBE	(PG)	
Paul Humphrey	(PH)	
Stephen Humphrey	(SH)	
Jonathan Long	(JL)	Chairman
Tim Morris	(TM)	
Les Newman	(LN)	
Miriam Parker	(MP)	
Michael Sprake	(MS)	President
Neil Stainthorpe	(NS)	Hon. Treasurer
Howard Wood	(HW)	Vice Chairman
Gail Sprake	(GS)	Secretary

<u>Agenda Item</u>	<u>Action by</u>	<u>Due by</u>
<p><b>1. Welcome</b></p> <p>The Chairman welcomed all to the conference call meeting.</p>		
<p><b>2. Apologies:</b></p> <p>No apologies had been received.</p> <p>Justin Harmer and Edward Harmer did not partake in the meeting due to conflict of interest.</p>		

<p><b>3.</b> The Chairman explained the procedure to enable a Council meeting to be called, under Article 14 (4) under the Articles of Association of the Southdown Sheep Society.</p> <p>All in agreement.</p>		
<p><b>4. Declarations of interest</b></p> <p>None declared by Council members present.</p>		
<p><b>5. Letter received from S Harmer on Friday May 1<sup>st</sup> regarding Subject Access Request under GDPR</b></p> <p>The Chairman had made contact with the Information Commissioner’s Office (ICO) and he briefed Council on the guidance he had received:  The Subject Access Request could be denied if considered to be ‘manifestly unfounded’. No fee could be charge other than to cover administrative costs (ie ink, paper, postage).  All Council members voiced their individual opinions.</p> <p>The following proposal was put forward, proposed by H Wood, seconded by P Goldsworthy:  ‘Council considers this Subject Access Request to be manifestly unfounded, based on the guidance of the Information Commissioner’s Office’.  The proposal was approved unanimously.</p> <p>The Chairman will write to Susan Harmer to inform her that the SAR has been carefully considered by Council and has been unanimously declined. The letter to include brief reasons for this decision, and explain that if she considers this inappropriate she may make a complaint via the ICO, and that the decision does not affect her ability to seek to enforce her rights through a judicial remedy.</p> <p>It was clarified that any further Subject Access Request, from any member, would be considered individually and appropriately.</p>		
<p><b>6. Any Other Business</b></p> <p>There was no other business.</p>		
<p><b>CLOSE</b></p> <p>The meeting closed at 1232h</p>		